- Approved For Release 2003/08/13 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000400050060-5

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

26 JUN

31

: (Name, office symboulding, Agency/Pa	ol, room number, ost)		Initials	Date 6-2
ADDA			0	د ع
			ì	c -
Action	File	Not	e and Reti	urn
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation		
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply		
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me		
<u> </u>	Investigate	Signature		
Comment				

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

7,00,000, mile 0	
FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bidg.
	Phone No.
5041-102	OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7–76)
☆ GPO : 1980 O - 311-156 (17)	Prescribed by GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

SECRET Approved For Release 2003/08/13 : CIA-RDP84B00890R0004QQQ5QQ68759025

81-1336

24 June 1981

				The Mark There	
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Executive Committee Mer	nbers	Oum levec com	. 4
	FROM :	Robert M. Gates Director, DCI/DDCI Exec	cutive Staff	CALL CALL CALL	
	SUBJECT :	Minutes of Executive Co	ommittee Meeting, 2	2 June 1981	
					· ·
25X1	session on recr (PTB). Admiral McMahon (D/NFAC	ecutive Committee met on uitment and a discussion Inman chaired the session; Dirks (DDS&T); Fitzwa (D/GC); and Gler	of the Professiona on; participants in ter (DDA);	il lest battery	25X1
25X1	system, Mr. Gle the existing sy	tment. Highlighting his erum emphasized his belie vstem will be capable bot projected increases in was currently under	f that with addition h of replacing loss Agency personnel st	onal resources, ses from attrition crength. He noted	
·	ceiling by the meeting with th Glerum cautione that might inte be considering	end of Fiscal 1981. Mes ne Comptroller to refine ed against any tinkering errupt the flow of applic "fine tuning" that might	srs. Glerum and Fli their resource requ of the existing rec ants but said that	uirements. Mr. cruitment process his staff would	
25X1	term.		esiae macammandation	05.	
		lerum then outlined his m		·	
	has	ow OP to proceed with the underway for 6 months be nges.	e recruitment effor efore considering a	ts it ny major	
	Appr will Lipt	rove in principle an incr I be refined by Messrs. C ton.	rease in resources, Herum, Fitzwater a	which nd	
	of t	ablish an ADD-level commi the D/OP to monitor recru determine recruitment pr	litment and process	irmanship ing levels	25X1
			.•		
•					

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

!5X1	4. In response to the DDCI's question, Mr. Glerum noted a surplus of recruitments in some categories and shortages in others, such as Career Trainees, communicators, and engineers. The DDCI then elicited comments and questions from Committee members. Mr. Dirks generally endorsed OP's proposals but requested the flexibility to tap appropriate S&T employees to supplement his directorate's recruitment efforts on an ad hoc rather than a permanent basis. Mr. Glerum agreed this should be a Career Service decision. Mr. Fitzwater concurred with OP's recommendations, stressing the need to accelerate projected personnel increases for the Office of Security.	
	5. Mr. Briggs acknowledged that OP had taken action on most of the recommendations in his staff's Inspection Survey of the recruitment process. He again advocated, however, considering a pilot program to test the concept of a combination centralized/decentralized recruitment system, which appears to have been successful in private industry. He suggested that instead of concentrating on staff increases to meet its long-term recruitment needs, the Agency should be focusing on alternatives to the existing process. Mr. Glerum pointed out that OP, in conjunction with the Career Services, has been pursuing more joint staff/line recruiting and with an additional 6 months' experience should be able to do better in this area.	25X1
25X1	agreed that OP should have 6 months to make headway in the recruitment area. He noted that he still questioned the utility of the permanent field recruiters, most of whose leads his directorate turns down. Mr. Glerum explained that joint DDO/OP recruiting efforts were beginning to pay off, but some improvements—particularly in the CT Program—were still needed. Mr. McMahon generally concurred with OP's proposals, noting that NFAC could provide line officers to augment recruitment efforts on an ad hoc basis. NFAC would not, however, be able to provide any travel funds.	25X1
.5X1	7. Mr. Ware urged that the Agency effectively exploit potential pools of minority and women applicants and focus on component Affirmative Action Plans in doing so. He noted having shared specific concerns with Mr. Glerum and being anxious to move ahead in these areas. Mr. Fitzwater outlined the Office of Communications' (OC) personnel shortages and consequent problems, including excessive overtime, underlaps and unused home leave, which contribute to high attrition. He urged that when OC did get back up to strength, a development complement be reestablished to help avoid these problems in the future.	
25X1	8. PTB. In response to the DDCI's request for comments on the PTB, Mr. McMahon said that he thought it was a useful tool. Noting that the PTB was critical for the DDO, Mr. Glerum explained how the DDO had worked with the Psychological Services Division (PSD) to make the best use of the test. Admiral Inman said that he had no reservations about the utility of the test for the DDO. In response to a DDCI question, (C/PSD) reviewed a 1974-76 study that concluded that the PTB did not have an adverse impact on minorities	25X1
•	en e	

2 CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2003/08/13 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000400050060-5

9. Mr. Briggs explained that he favored psychological testing. High-
lighting the findings of a consultant, however, he noted that the variable
of the PTB could be questioned and suggested some improvements might be in
order Mr. Ware said that he was concerned about how managers used fib
regults. He noted that PSD may not have job standards by which to measure
a potential applicant and the test results therefore may not be relevant.
He concurred with the utility of the PTB for DDO but challenged its relevance
to other components, such as NFAC. He suggested that the PTB should be con-
to other components, such as NFAC. He suggested that the Fish should be to the suggested that the Fish should be suggested to the Fish should be suggested that the Fish should be suggested to the Fish should be suggested
sidered in the context of an Agency comprehensive testing policy, which is
currently being developed. Mr. Fitzwater mentioned plans to hold tutorials
for managers in the appropriate use of the PTB.

25X1

10. Admiral Inman concluded that OP should have 6 months to see what it can accomplish in the recruitment area. He asked, however, to be provided statistics periodically on the number of recruitments made against specific requirements. He also asked for a paper from OP, DDA and the Comptroller refining the resource issue. He approved of establishing the ADD-level committee to monitor the recruitment process. Noting a "lingering worry" that the Agency was still focusing too much on the short term and not enough on new ways to do things in the long run, Admiral Inman asked OP to outline the structure of a pilot program to determine what the Agency might do not instead of but in addition to its current efforts to improve its recruitment process in the long term. Regarding the PTB, Admiral Inman said that he accepted its utility for the DDO but needed to have a better understanding of its use in other components. He will therefore look at this issue again in the context of the comprehensive testing policy currently being developed.

25X1

25X1

Røbert M. Gates

cc: Director of Personnel

3 CONFIDENTIAL

```
Distribution:

DDCI

D/DCI-DDCI ExStaff (R. Gates)

EXCOM: Subj File (Personnel)

EXCOM Chrono

D/NFAC

DDO

DDS&T

DDA

Comptroller

D/EEQ

IG and finite

GC and finite

D/OP

25X1 EXCOM Staff

ER via ES
```